Making Programs Fail
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Two Views of Testing

• Testing means to execute a program with the intent to make it fail.

• Testing for validation:
  Finding unknown failures (classical view)

• Testing for debugging:
  Finding a specific failure (our focus)
Tests in Debugging

- Write a test to *reproduce* the problem
- Write a test to *simplify* the problem
- Run a test to *observe* the run
- Run a test to *validate a fix*
- Re-run tests to protect against *regression*
Automated Tests

- Allow for *reuse* of tests
- Allow tests that are difficult to carry out manually
- Make tests repeatable
- Increase confidence in software
Automated Tests

- Allow to isolate and simplify
  - failure-inducing input
  - failure-inducing code changes
  - failure-inducing thread schedules
  - failure-inducing program state
- More on this in the weeks to come
Mozilla Bug #24735

Ok the following operations cause mozilla to crash consistently on my machine

--> Start mozilla
--> Go to bugzilla.mozilla.org
--> Select search for bug
--> Print to file setting the bottom and right margins to .50
   (I use the file /var/tmp/netscape.ps)
--> Once it's done printing do the exact same thing again on
    the same file (/var/tmp/netscape.ps)
--> This causes the browser to crash with a segfault

How do we automate this?
Simulating Interaction

Start Mozilla

Enter URL

Click on Print
Challenges

• *Synchronization*: How do we know a window has popped up such that we can click into it?

• *Abstraction*: How do we know it’s the right window?

• *Portability*: What happens on a display with different resolution or window placement?
Interaction Layers

- The *presentation layer* handles interaction with the user (generally: the environment)
- The *functionality* layer encapsulates the functionality (independent from a specific presentation)
- The *unit layer* splits functionality across cooperating units
Control Layers

Presentation

Functionality

Units
Assessing Layers

• **Ease of execution.** How easy is it to get control over program execution?

• **Ease of interaction.** How easy is it to interact with the program?

• **Ease of result assessment.** How can we check results against expectations?

• **Lifetime of test case.** How robust is my test when it comes to program changes?
Presentation Layer

Presentation

Functionality

Units
Presentation Layer

• **Low-level**: expressing interaction by means of mouse and keyboard events

• Also applicable at the **system level**

• **High-level**: expressing interaction using graphical controls
Low Level Interaction

# 1. Launch mozilla and wait for 2 seconds
exec mozilla &
send_xevents wait 2000

# 2. Open URL dialog (Shift+Control+L)
send_xevents keydn Control_L
send_xevents keydn Shift_L
send_xevents key L
send_xevents keyup Shift_L
send_xevents keyup Control_L
send_xevents wait 500

# 3. Load bugzilla.mozilla.org and wait for 5 seconds
send_xevents @400,100
send_xevents type {http://bugzilla.mozilla.org}
send_xevents key Return
send_xevents wait 5000
Low Level Interaction

- Scripts can easily be recorded
- Scripts are write-only
  (= impossible to maintain)
- Scripts are fragile
  (= must be remade after trivial changes)
# System Level Interaction

# Power on the machine and wait for 5s
power <= true; wait for 5000;

# Click mouse button 1
m_b1 <= true; wait for 300; m_b1 <= false;

# Click the CDROM change button
cdctrl'shortcut_out_add("/cdrom%change/...");
System Level Interaction

• Complete control over machine
• Good for testing and debugging system properties
• Difficult to use for application programs
Higher Level Interaction

-- 1. Activate mozilla
.tell application "mozilla" to activate

-- 2. Open URL dialog via menu
.tell application "System Events" to ¬
    .tell process "mozilla" to ¬
        .tell menu bar 1 to ¬
            .tell menu bar item "File" to ¬
                .click menu item "Open Web Location"

-- 3. Load bugzilla.mozilla.org and wait for 5 seconds
.tell window "Open Web Location"
    .tell sheet 1 to ¬
        .set value of text field 1 to "http://bugzilla.mozilla.org/
    .click button 1
.end tell
delay 5
Higher Level Interaction

• Scripts reference GUI elements by *name* and *numbers* (rather than coordinates)
• Much more robust against size and position changes
• But still fragile against layout changes and renamings
Dealing with Output

- We must be able to detect output
  - for synchronization ("is the dialog there?")
  - for assessment of results ("was the test successful?")
- Issue at entire presentation layer (low level, system level, and high level interface)
Presentation Layer

- Automation is *always feasible*
- Scripts are more or less *fragile*
- Dealing with output is greatest weakness
Functionality Layer
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Functionality

Units
• Each application comes with an API for a scripting language

tell application "Safari"
    activate
    if not (exists document 1) then
        make new document at the beginning of documents
    end if
    set the URL of the front document to "http://bugzilla.mozilla.org/
    delay 5
end tell
Windows Scripting

• Most operating systems provide their own scripting language

' Load document
Set IE = CreateObject("InternetExplorer.Application")
IE.navigate "http://bugzilla.mozilla.org/",
IE.visible=1

' Wait until the page is loaded
While IE.Busy
    WScript.Sleep 100
Wend
Some applications are built around a script interpreter

(defun ispell-toggle ()
  "Toggle ispell dictionary between english and german" (interactive)
  (cond ((equal ispell-local-dictionary nil)
         (ispell-change-dictionary "american"))
        ((equal ispell-local-dictionary "deutsch8")
         (ispell-change-dictionary "american"))
        (t (ispell-change-dictionary "deutsch8")))
  (ispell-init-process)
  (message (concat "Using " ispell-local-dictionary "ispell dictionary")))
Scripting Languages

• OS-specific languages (MacOS, Windows)
• Perl, Python, Tcl
• Lisp, Scheme, Guile
• Command-line languages (Unix shell)
• Component languages (.NET, Corba)
• … or roll your own (but beware!)
Functionality Layer

• Results can be easily assessed
• Scripts are robust against changes (as long as automation interface remains stable)
• Requires clear separation between presentation and functionality
Unit Layer

Presentation

Functionality

Units
Unit Tests

• Directly access units (= classes, modules, components…) at their programming interfaces

• Encapsulate a set of tests as a single syntactical unit

• Available for all programming languages (JUnit for Java, CPPUNIT for C++, etc.)
Running a Test

A test case...

1. sets up an environment for the test
2. tests the unit
3. tears down the environment again.
Testing a URL Class

http://www.askigor.org/status.php?id=sample
import junit.framework.Test;
import junit.framework.TestCase;
import junit.framework.TestSuite;

public class URLTest extends TestCase {
    private URL askigor_url;

    // Create new test
    public URLTest(String name) { super(name); }

    // Assign a name to this test case
    public String toString() { return getName(); }

    // Setup environment
    protected void setUp() {
        askigor_url = new URL("http://www.askigor.org/status.php?id=sample");
    }

    // Release environment
    protected void tearDown() { askigor_url = null; }
}
public void testProtocol() {
    assertEquals(askigor_url.getProtocol(), "http");
}

public void testHost() {
    int noPort = -1;
    assertEquals(askigor_url.getHost(), "www.askigor.org");
    assertEquals(askigor_url.getPort(), noPort);
}

public void testPath() {
    assertEquals(askigor_url.getPath(), "/status.php");
}

public void testQuery() {
    assertEquals(askigor_url.getQuery(), "id=sample");
}
// Set up a suite of tests
public static Test suite() {
    TestSuite suite = new TestSuite(URLTest.class);
    return suite;
}

// Main method: Invokes GUI
public static void main(String args[]) {
    String[] testCaseName =
    { URLTest.class.getName() };
    // junit.textui.TestRunner.main(testCaseName);
    junit.swingui.TestRunner.main(testCaseName);
    // junit.awtui.TestRunner.main(testCaseName);
}

JUnit
PyUnit

- Unit testing framework for Python
- Simple variant: just overload runTest()

import unittest

class DefaultWidgetSizeTestCase(unittest.TestCase):
    def runTest(self):
        widget = Widget("The widget")
        assert widget.size() == (50,50), \
        'incorrect default size'
class WidgetTestCase(unittest.TestCase):
    def setUp(self):
        self.widget = Widget("The widget")
    def tearDown(self):
        self.widget.dispose()
        self.widget = None
    def testDefaultSize(self):
        assert self.widget.size() == (50,50), 'incorrect default size'
    def testResize(self):
        self.widget.resize(100,150)
        assert self.widget.size() == (100,150), 'wrong size after resize'
Running PyUnit tests

if __name__ == "__main__":
    unittest.main()

$ python unittest.py widgettests.WidgetTestSuite

http://pyunit.sourceforge.net/pyunit.html
void print_to_file(string filename)
{
    if (path_exists(filename)) {
        // FILENAME exists; ask user to confirm overwrite
        bool confirmed = confirm_loss(filename);
        if (!confirmed)
            return;
    }
    // Proceed printing to FILENAME...
}
Circular Dependency

Both units depend on each other!
void print_to_file(string filename, 
    Presentation *presentation)
{
    if (path_exists(filename))
    {
        // FILENAME exists;
        // ask user to confirm overwrite
        bool confirmed = 
            presentation->confirm_loss(filename);
        if (!confirmed)
            return;
    }

    // Proceed printing to FILENAME
    ...
}
Depend on abstraction rather than details!
Dependency Inversion

To break the dependency from A to B,

1. Introduce an abstract superclass B’
2. Set up A such that it depends on B’ (rather than B)
3. Introduce alternate subclasses of B’ that can be used with A
Design for Debugging

• Basic idea: decompose the system such that dependencies are minimized

• Each component depends on a minimum of other components for testing (and debugging)
Model-View-Controller

Separate functionality and presentations

Separate functionality and presentations
The MVC Pattern

- **Model**
  - `-coreData`
  - `+attach(Observer)`
  - `+detach(Observer)`
  - `+notify()`
  - `+getData()`
  - `+service()`

- **View**
  - `+initialize(Model)`
  - `+makeController()`
  - `+activate()`
  - `+display()`
  - `+update()`

- **Controller**
  - `+initialize(Model, View)`
  - `+handleEvent()`
  - `+update()`

- **Observer**
  - `+update()`

- **Register observers**
- **Notify observers**
- **update view**
General Design Rules

- **High cohesion.** Those units that operate on common data should be grouped together.

- **Low coupling.** Units that do not share common data should exchange as little information as possible.
## Prevent Problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specify</th>
<th>Test early</th>
<th>Test first</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test often</td>
<td>Test enough</td>
<td>Have reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check the code</td>
<td>Verify</td>
<td>Assert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To test for debugging, one must…

- create a test to reproduce the problem
- run the test several times during debugging, and
- run the test before new releases to prevent regression

Automate as much as possible
Concepts (2)

★ To test at the presentation layer, simulate human interaction

★ To test at the functionality layer, use an automation interface

★ To test units, use the unit API to control it and assess its results
Concepts (3)

- To isolate a unit, break dependencies using the dependency inversion principle
- To design for debugging, reduce the amount of dependencies
- A variety of techniques is available to prevent errors and problems