Dynamic Symbolic Execution

- Symbolic execution of a concrete execution
- Also called concolic execution (concrete + symbolic)
- By using input values, feasible paths only are (automatically) selected
- Implemented by instrumenting each statement of P

Dynamic symbolic execution combines symbolic execution with concrete execution: The program is instrumented for symbolic execution and then executed with concrete values. The instrumentation collects path conditions and symbolic states along the concrete execution.
Dynamic Symbolic Execution

Generate a random input and execute the corresponding feasible path

Dynamic Symbolic Execution

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

Dynamic Symbolic Execution
Double P

double P(short x, short y) {
    short w = abs(y);
    double z = 1.0;
    while (w != 0) {
        z = z * x;
        w = w - 1;
        if (y < 0)
            z = 1.0 / z;
    }
    return z;
}

Generate a random input and execute the corresponding feasible path

(0,0)
While executing, collect constraints along path taken

(0,0) $\text{abs}(y) = 0$

$!(y < 0)$

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

(0,0) $\text{abs}(y) = 0$

$(y < 0)$

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

(0,0) $\text{abs}(y) \neq 0$
double P

\[
\text{double P(short x, short y) }
\begin{align*}
\short w &= \text{abs}(y), \\
\text{double } z &= 1.0, \\
\text{while } (w \neq 0) \\
\{ \\
\text{\quad } z &= z \times x, \\
\text{\quad } w &= w - 1 \\
\text{\quad if } (y < 0) \\
\text{\quad \quad } z &= \frac{1.0}{z} \quad \checkmark \\
\text{\quad } \} \\
\text{return } z. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

(0,1) abs(y) \neq 0
abs(y) - 1 = 0
!(y < 0)

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

(0,1) abs(y) \neq 0
abs(y) - 1 = 0
(y < 0)

Try to solve the CS where the last constraint is refuted

(0,-1) abs(y) \neq 0
abs(y) - 1 = 0
(y < 0)
typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (f(x) == p->v)
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
    return 0;
}

This example illustrates dynamic symbolic execution as done in the tool Cute (http://osl.cs.uiuc.edu/~ksen/cute/)
Assume we want to generate test data that reaches the abort statement.

Before the first run:
Pointers are set to null, ints assigned randomly; the symbolic state is initialized.

A new path condition is added in the first line of the function; the path condition is based on the symbolic state. If the concrete execution takes the true branch, then the path condition is added directly, else its negation is added.
The second branch condition is false, so we add the negation to the path conditions.

The else branch leads to the return statement.

To explore a new path, we negate the last branch condition...
...and use a constraint solver to find a new solution. In this case we need to construct a new cell, for which the fields are initialized (pointers to null, ints randomly).

The first condition still holds with the new inputs (path condition is updated accordingly for this run).
This time, the second branch condition also is true, and we update the path condition accordingly.

In the next line, the symbolic state is updated by the function call to f. The branch condition itself evaluates to false on the concrete run (p->v is 634, 2x+1 is 473), so we add the negation of the condition to the path constraints (note that v0 is short for p0->v).
Typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (f(x) == p->v)
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
            return 0;
}

solve: x > 0 and p0 != null and 2x0 + 1 = v0

Again we negate the last branch condition...

And solve it with a constraint solver, which tells us we need to set x to 1 and p->v to 3.

Test case is run with new values.
This time, the branch condition evaluates to true.
The last branch is false, as `p->next` is null, so we add the negated branch condition to the constraints.

Once more, we negate and solve.
typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (f(x) == p->v)
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
            return 0;
    }

The constraint solver tells us p->next should point to p.

New run with new inputs...

typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (f(x) == p->v)
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
            return 0;
    }

typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (f(x) == p->v)
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
            return 0;
    }
typedef struct cell {
    int v;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int f(int v) {
    return 2*v + 1;
}

int testme(cell *p, int x) {
    if (x > 0) {
        if (p != NULL) {
            if (f(x) == p->v) {
                if (p->next == p)
                    abort();
            } else {
                return 0;
            }
        } else {
            return 0;
        }
    }
}

This time, the last branch evaluates to true.
Finally, the desired statement is reached.

Simultaneous Symbolic & Concrete Execution

```c
void again_test_me(int x, int y){
    z = x*x*x + 3*x*x + 9;
    if(z != y){
        printf("Good branch");
    } else {
        printf("Bad branch");
        abort();
    }
}
```

- Let initially `x = -3` and `y = 7` generated by random test-driver
- Let initially `x = -3` and `y = 7` generated by random test-driver
- concrete `z = 9`
- symbolic `z = x*x*x + 3*x*x+9`
- take then branch with constraint `x*x*x+ 3*x*x+9 != y`
Simultaneous Symbolic & Concrete Execution

```c
void again_test_me(int x, int y){
    z = x*x*x + 3*x*x + 9;
    if(z != y){
        printf("Good branch");
    } else {
        printf("Bad branch");
        abort();
    }
}
```

- Let initially $x = -3$ and $y = 7$ generated by random test-driver
- concrete $z = 9$
- symbolic $z = x^3 + 3x^2 + 9$
- take then branch with constraint $x^3 + 3x^2 + 9 \neq y$
- solve $x^3 + 3x^2 + 9 = y$ to take else branch
- Don’t know how to solve this
  - Stuck ?
  - Use concrete values!
Simultaneous Symbolic & Concrete Execution

```c
void again_test_me(int x, int y){
    if(z != y){
        printf("Good branch");
    } else {
        printf("Bad branch");
        abort();
    }
}
```

- Let initially \( x = -3 \) and \( y = 7 \) generated by random test-driver
- concrete \( z = 9 \)
- symbolic \( z = x^3 + 3x^2 + 9 \)
  - cannot handle symbolic value of \( z \)

```c
void again_test_me(int x, int y){
    if(z != y){
        printf("Good branch");
    } else {
        printf("Bad branch");
        abort();
    }
}
```

- take then branch with constraint \( 9 \neq y \)
Simultaneous Symbolic & Concrete Execution

Let initially $x = -3$ and $y = 7$ generated by random test-driver
- concrete $z = 9$
- symbolic $z = x^3 + 3x^2 + 9$
  - cannot handle symbolic value of $z$
  - make symbolic $z = 9$ and proceed
- take then branch with constraint $9 \neq y$
- solve $9 = y$ to take else branch
- execute next run with $x = -3$ and $y = 9$
  - got error (reaches abort)

Replace symbolic expression by concrete value when symbolic expression becomes unmanageable (i.e. non-linear)
Explicit Path (not State) Model Checking

• Traverse all execution paths one by one to detect errors
  • check for assertion violations
  • check for program crash
  • combine with valgrind to discover memory leaks
  • detect invariants
Explicit Path (not State) Model Checking

- Traverse all execution paths one by one to detect errors
  - check for assertion violations
  - check for program crash
  - combine with valgrind to discover memory leaks
  - detect invariants
Explicit Path (not State) Model Checking

- Traverse all execution paths one by one to detect errors
- check for assertion violations
- check for program crash
- combine with valgrind to discover memory leaks
- detect invariants

In this video, the Pex developers demonstrate Pex in action. The video is available at http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/projects/pex/ - the website also contains a video that explains dynamic symbolic execution.
Automated Test Data Generation for Coverage: Have We Solved This Problem?

An Experiment

- 2009 study by Lakhotia, McMinn, Harman
- Cute
  Concolic testing tool
- Austin
  Search based testing tool
Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>LOC</th>
<th>Branches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>libogg</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plot2d</td>
<td>6,062</td>
<td>1,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td>5,503</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi</td>
<td>81,572</td>
<td>8,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zile</td>
<td>73,472</td>
<td>3,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Covered Branches

![Bar chart showing covered branches for different subjects.

What’s the problem?

• Search strategy
  Avoid getting stuck in loops
• Setting up complex data
• Strings
• Environment
• Complex constraints
• Fitness landscape
• Number of iterations in loops must be selected prior to any symbolic execution

• Arrays
• Symbolic execution constrains the shape of dynamically allocated objects
• Non-feasible paths and symbolic execution problems
• Handling loops (manuel vs automatic path selection)
Constraint-based Testing

Program  | Test Goal  | Constraint options  | Constraint solver  | Test data
---------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------
          |            | $|pB = x| (x < 3) \land (x > 0)$ |                     | $a = 1$
          |            | $b = 2$ |                     | $c = 3$