Advanced Functional Programming

Software Engineering Chair and Programming Systems Lab

Small-group work

Divide into groups and discuss the following questions. You don't have to answer the questions in the given order, so pick your favorite questions.

- 1. What formal definitions of *monad* are given in the paper? What would be an intuitive description? To answer that question, try to find commonalities between the different monads introduced in the paper.
- 2. What do you need to define a monad as a programming abstraction? Does the "triple" suffice?
- 3. You are given the following signature for a monadic random generator:

(* generator producing 'a *) type 'a m val unit : 'a -> 'a m val (>>=): 'a m -> ('a -> 'b m) -> 'b m (* infix bind *) float -> 'a m -> 'a m -> 'a m (* prob. choice *) val choose: (* run the generator *) 'a m -> 'a val run: val flip: int m val uniform: int -> int m val demo: int m

Function choose p m m' takes a probability $p (0 \le p \le 1)$ and selects generator m with probability p and generator m' with probability 1 - p. Give implementations for the functions flip, uniform, and demo:

- (a) flip returns 0 or 1, each with probability 1/2. (1 line)
- (b) uniform n returns a number $1, \ldots, n$, each with equal probability. Use this function to simulate throwing a die. (3 lines)
- (c) demo throws a 6-sided fair die. If this yields a number not greater than 3 the result is obtained by throwing an 8-sided fair die, or an 20-sided die otherwise. (3 lines)
- 4. The paper mentions *purity* a lot. Try to give a definition. How are monads related to purity, and to laziness? Haskell relies on monads for state and I/O, can you explain why?
- 5. The paper demonstrates several applications of monads. Which cannot easily be simulated with conventional language features? Can you think of other applications not in the paper?
- 6. What is the general contribution of monads, as a tool in the programming toolbox? What are possible disadvantages in using monads?

Homework Assignment

Congratulations! You were elected as a member for the program committee of the next seminar on advanced topics in functional programming. Please review the three anonymous submissions.

- 1. Write any comments you have into the margins, on the back of the paper, or on an extra paper that you staple to the submission.
- 2. For each paper provide a list of 8 points that you like or that you would like to see improved. You can also provide additional comments.
- 3. We ask you to handle your reviews with strict confidentiality. In particular, you are *not* allowed to discuss them with your colleagues.
- 4. Put your name and student ID on your reviews and drop them off at the program chair's office (room 326/45) until Monday, November 28th at noon (12am). If the door is closed, slide your printout under the door. No Emails.