

Final Presentation and some more

March 4, 2016

Exam Schedule (E9 1, Room 0.06, 5th March, Saturday):

Presenter	Time	Reviewers
Julian	8:00 - 8:35	Lucas Biehl, Alexander Mohr
Christopher	8:45 - 9:20	Julian, Alexander Mohr
Alex Grethen	9:30 - 10:05	Lukas Bard, Lucal Biehl
Alexander Mohr	10:15 - 10:50	Julian, Christopher
Lukas Bard	11:00 - 11:35	Christopher, Alex Grethen
Sandra	11:45 - 12:20	Alex Grethen, Lukas Bard
	BREAK	
Christian	13:00 - 13:35	Sandra, Duc
Frederic	13:45 - 14:20	Ezekiel, Sandra
Björn	14:30 - 15:05	Ezekiel, Duc
Duc	15:15 - 15:50	Christian, Frederic
Emamurho	16:00 - 16:35	Frederic, Björn
Nicolas	16:45 - 17:20	Christian, Björn
	BREAK	
Lucas Biehl	18:00 - 18:35	Marvin, Emamurho
Marvin	18:45 - 19:20	Emamurho, Nicolas
Ezekiel	19:30 - 20:05	Nicolas, Marvin

Table 1: Presentation Schedule

Presentation

Presentation is an art. Do not underestimate the final presentation. Talk to me about your individual topics and I will give you tips on how to present it during the seminar. Some general guidelines can be found here: <https://www.st.cs.uni-saarland.de/zeller/GoodTalk.pdf>.

Peer Review

Concretely, the peer review will explain the following qualities of the presentation (please, do not give just a YES/NO answer):

- Did the presentation explain the topic clearly?

- Did the presentation explain salient features of the topic, for instance what it can or cannot do?
- Did the presentation highlight some papers apart from the papers discussed during the seminar?
- Did the presentation highlight follow-up research on the research paper discussed during regular meetings?
- Was the presentation successful enough to show that the topic is relevant for research even now or (not)?
- If the presentation is given to a bunch of masters student looking for thesis topics, will they be able to decide whether to work on this topic solely based on the presentation?
- Did you like the organization of slides?
- What did you particularly like in the presentation?
- What improvement do you suggest?

Note that the list is not exhaustive nor do you need to answer all the aforementioned questions. But be honest in giving feedback. Remember that you are reviewing the presentation and not the person who is presenting. Therefore, critical feedback is expected and appreciated. Finally, your review must not highlight or criticize any type of issues related to personal presentation style, such as English language skill of the presenter or his/her body language. Please submit your feedback by **7th March, 2016** by email.